

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/769,105	WALCOME, RICHARD OSCAR	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert May	2875	

All Participants:

(1) Robert May.

(2) David L. Garrison.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 November 2005

Time: 12:30PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

6, 11, 17, 8, 18, 22, 9, 14, 19

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Regarding Claims 6,11,&17 , the attorney clarified that reference number 13 was added to Figure 7 which points to "a raised sealing portion" of the spigot and the attorney authorized the examiner to make amendment adding "13" to the spec on Pg 18, Para [0075] Line 2 to clarify antecedent basis in the spec. The examiner also requested that Figure 8 be amended to insert reference no. 13 so that it correctly points to the claimed element.

Regarding Claims 8,18,&22, the attorney clarified that added reference number 82 points to "hinge ears" and authorized examiner's amendment to add "82" to the spec where "hinge ears" are recited.

Regarding Claims 9,14,&19 the attorney clarified that "63" was added to Figure 4 which points to "a lip extending into the interior at one end" for the hollow latch tube as claimed. .